UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/552,580 | 10/12/2005 | Yoshiyuki Ozaki | 2005_1504A | 2524 |
| 513 7590 06/18/2009 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. | | | EXAMINER | |
| 1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503 | | | SUTTON, ANDREW W | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| _ | | | 3765 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/18/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Occurrence | 10/552,580 | OZAKI, YOSHIYUKI | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | ANDREW W. SUTTON | 3765 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Fe</u> | bruary 2009 | | | | |
| ,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | action is non-final. | | | | |
| <i>,</i> — | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-30 and 34-37</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>31-33 and 38-40</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | - | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 October 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | |
| ·— ·— | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachmont(s) | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/27/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that because Kojima teaches a yarn consisting of 35% cotton that is it not a natural yarn. The examiner disagrees as the applicant does not specifically claim that the yarn is composed entirely of natural fibers.

Claim Objections

The claims are objected to as the applicant references a natural fabric yarn. The examiner suggests that the applicant uses the language natural fiber yarn to more clearly claim the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-30 and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corbiere (US 5,027,988) in view of Kojima (US 4,623,770). Corbiere illustrates, in Fig. 4, a fabric with multiple folds with multiple pleats 25 being on the bias of the warp 21 and weft threads 24. It is inherent that the pleat would be formed up to the folding line and in the passing direction along 25 as illustrated in Fig. 4. Corbiere

Application/Control Number: 10/552,580

Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

does not teach the unfolding and washing of the fabric. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to unfold and wash the product to provide a clean product to the end user. 5Corbiere teaches the device substantially above. Corbiere teaches the yarns being made of polyester (see col. 2 line 26). Corbiere does not teach the fabric being made of a natural fiber. Kojima teaches a pleated fabric with a weft yarn made of polyester and a warp yarn made of cotton. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the fabric of Corbiere with that of Kojima to provide a better feel for the wearer of the fabric from the cotton fiber.

As to claims 22, 29-30 and 35, Corbiere teaches multiple pleats 25 being tilted at an angle relative to the warp and weft yarns as shown in Fig. 4. Corbiere teaches the fabrics are known for making clothing (see col. 1 lines 10-15) which would require the sewing of multiple fabrics together to produce clothing, as is commonly known in the art.

As to claim 23-24 and 36-37, the pleating of the multiple folds would inherently be done after the folds were made.

As to claims 25-28, With respect to the limitation of 45 degrees, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed angle or any unexpected results arising therefrom, and that as such the 45 degrees was arbitrary and therefore obvious. Such angle limitation cannot be a basis for patentability, since where patentability is said to be based upon diameter or another angle or another variable in the claim, the applicant must show that the 45 degrees is critical. <u>In re Woodruff</u>, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990). One having ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 3765

would be able to determine though routine experimentation the ideal dimension for a particular application.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 31-33 and 38-40 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,580 Page 5

Art Unit: 3765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW W. SUTTON whose telephone number is (571)272-6093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary L. Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AWS 16 June 2009

/Shaun R Hurley/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3765